

# A Note on Weak Hypercyclicity and Linear Fractional Composition Operator

Arman Shokrollahi

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA.

**Abstract:** This paper discusses the existence of a sufficient condition for an operator to be weakly hypercyclic. We establish a weak hypercyclicity criterion, and thereupon we can answer questions 5.3 and 5.8 posed by Chan and Sanders in [4]. Lastly, we show that for specific type of composition operator, weak hypercyclicity and hypercyclicity are equivalent.

**MSC:** 47A16; 47S99; 46A45

**Keywords:** Banach space • chaos • composition operator • Hardy space • hypercyclicity • linear operator • norm hypercyclic • weak hypercyclicity.

## 1. Introduction

In this note, we try to briefly discuss a sufficient condition in terms of norm and weakly open sets for an operator on a reflexive Banach space to be weakly hypercyclic. This gives a useful criterion for weak hypercyclicity of operators. We apply this to specific classes of weakly hypercyclic operators including bilateral weighted shifts on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . This, in turn, provides a large class of weakly hypercyclic bilateral shift which are not norm hypercyclic and it answers question 5.3 in [4] in a different way than what Sanders presented in [14]. In the last section, we show hypercyclicity and weak hypercyclicity are equivalent for a composition operator on the space  $H(U)$  of all complex-valued functions holomorphic on the open unit disk  $U$  or the Hardy space  $H^2$  that is the collection of functions  $f \in H(U)$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty$ . So, there are other classes of operators for which norm hypercyclicity and weak hypercyclicity are equivalent and it answers question 5.8 in [4]. The authors in [1–4, 6, 8–11, 13] have already studied weak hypercyclicity and composition operators in various ways. Dodson [7] has also prepared a survey in this regard.

The following theorem summarizes all the necessary conditions which have been obtained for weakly hypercyclic operators. For a proof, see [4] and [6].

### Theorem 1.1.

*Let  $T$  be a bounded operator on Banach space  $X$ . If  $T$  is a weakly hypercyclic operator, then*

- (i) for every norm open set  $G$  and weakly open set  $W$ , there is some integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $T^n G \cap W \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) the set of weakly hypercyclic vectors for  $T$  is norm dense in  $X$ ,
- (iii)  $T^*$  has no eigenvalue,
- (iv) every component of spectrum  $T$  intersect the unit circle.

The following theorem proposes a sufficient condition in terms of open and weakly set for an operator to be weakly hypercyclic.  $\text{Ball}_N(X)$  and  $\text{Ball}_N^0(X)$  represent the subsets  $\{x \in X : \|x\| \leq N\}$  and  $\{x \in X : \|x\| < N\}$  of  $X$ , respectively, for any integer  $N$ .

**Theorem 1.2.**

Let  $T$  be a bounded operator on a reflexive Banach space  $X$  such that

- (i) for every norm open set  $G$  and weakly open set  $W$ , there is some integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $T^n G \cap W \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) there exists some integer  $M$  such that if  $G$  is a norm open set with  $G \cap \text{Ball}(X) \neq \emptyset$  and  $W$  is a weakly open set with  $W \cap \text{Ball}(X) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is some integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $T^n(G \cap \text{Ball}(X)) \cap W \cap \text{Ball}_M(X) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then,  $T$  is weakly hypercyclic.

*Proof.* The reflexivity of  $X$  and Alaoglu's Theorem imply that, for every integer  $N$ ,  $\text{Ball}_N(X)$  is weakly compact and metrizable. Let  $\Gamma_N$  be a collection of countable bases of  $\text{Ball}_N(X)$ , then  $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_N$  is also countable. Suppose  $\Gamma = \{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_N, \dots\}$ . For each  $W_j$ , there is a weakly open set  $V_j$  and an integer  $N \geq 1$  such that  $W_j = V_j \cap \text{Ball}_N(X)$ . Let  $A = \{(m, j) : T^{-m}V_j \cap \text{Ball}^0(X) \neq \emptyset\}$ .  $A$  is nonempty. In fact, condition (i) ensures that there is some integer  $m$  such that  $(m, j) \in A$  for every  $j$ . Note that by condition (ii), for every norm open set  $G$  and  $(m, j) \in A$ , there is some integer  $n$  such that the set  $T^n(G \cap \text{Ball}(X)) \cap T^{-m}V_j \cap \text{Ball}_M(X)$  is nonempty, and consequently  $G \cap \text{Ball}(X) \cap T^{-n}[T^{-m}V_j \cap \text{Ball}_M(X)] \neq \emptyset$ . So, the set  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^{-n}[T^{-m}V_j \cap \text{Ball}_M(X)]$  is norm dense in  $\text{Ball}(X)$ . The Baire's Category Theorem implies that the set

$$WHY := \bigcap_{(m,j) \in A} \bigcup_n T^{-n}[T^{-m}V_j \cap \text{Ball}_M(X)]$$

is also norm dense in  $\text{Ball}(X)$ . Need to be mentioned that to use the Baire's Category Theorem, it would not be difficult to show that  $T^{-n}[T^{-m}W_j \cap \text{Ball}_M(X)] \cap \text{Ball}(X)$  is open in  $\text{Ball}(X)$ .

Now, we claim every element of the above set is weakly hypercyclic for  $T$ . Choosing  $x$  in the last set, we show that  $x$  is a weakly hypercyclic vector for  $T$ . For, suppose  $W$  is an arbitrary weakly open set in  $X$ , then by condition (i),  $T^m \text{Ball}^0(X) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ , for some integer  $m \geq 0$ . There is some integer  $N > M \|T\|^m$  such that  $T^m \text{Ball}^0(X) \cap W \cap \text{Ball}_N(X) \neq \emptyset$  and consequently  $T^{-m}(W \cap \text{Ball}_N(X)) \cap \text{Ball}^0(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\Gamma_N$  is a basis of  $\text{Ball}_N(X)$  and  $\Gamma_N \subseteq \Gamma$ , there exists some  $W_j \in \Gamma_N$  with  $W_j \cap \text{Ball}_N(X) \subseteq W \cap \text{Ball}_N(X)$ . Hence,  $T^{-m}(V_j \cap \text{Ball}_N(X)) \cap \text{Ball}^0(X) \neq \emptyset$  when  $T^{-m}V_j \cap \text{Ball}^0(X) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $j$ . It states that  $(m, j) \in A$  and since  $x \in WHY(T)$ , there is some integer  $n$  such that  $T^{n+m}x \in V_j$  and  $T^n x \in \text{Ball}_M(X)$  when  $\|T^{n+m}x\| \leq M \|T\|^m \leq N$ . So,  $T^{n+m}x \in V_j \cap \text{Ball}_N(X)$  which is a subset of  $W \cap \text{Ball}_N(X)$ . Thus,  $T^{n+m}x \in W$ , and so  $\text{Orb}(T, x)$  is

weakly dense in  $X$ , and  $T$  is weakly hypercyclic. (the subject of orbits has been studied in many literature, for example see [12].)  $\square$

## 2. Weak Hypercyclicity

### Theorem 2.1 (Weak Hypercyclicity Criterion).

Let  $X$  be a reflexive, separable Banach space,  $T \in B(X)$ , and there exist two dense subsets  $Y$  and  $Z$  in  $X$ , a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of integers and positive integer  $M$  such that

1.  $T^{n_k}y \xrightarrow{wk} 0$  for every  $y \in Y$ ,
2. for every  $y \in Y$  with  $\|y\| \leq 1$ , there is some integer  $N$  such that

$$\sup\{\|T^{n_k}y\| : k \geq N\} \leq M,$$

3. there exists a linear map  $S_k : Z \rightarrow X$  such that for every  $z \in Z$ ,

$$S_k z \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad T^{n_k} S_{n_k} z \rightarrow z.$$

Then,  $T$  is weakly hypercyclic.

*Proof.* We apply the preceding theorem (Theorem 1.2). Suppose  $G$  is a norm open set and  $W$  is a weakly open set. Choose  $z \in G \cap Z$ ,  $y \in W \cap Y$ , and let  $y_k = y + S_k z$ , then  $y_k \rightarrow y$  in norm topology while  $T^{n_k} y_k \xrightarrow{wk} z$ . It gives condition (i) of Theorem 1.2.

Again suppose  $G$  is a norm open set and  $W$  is a weakly open set with  $W \cap \text{Ball}(X) \neq \emptyset$  and  $G \cap \text{Ball}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $W \cap \text{Ball}^0(X)$  and  $G \cap \text{Ball}^0(X)$  are also nonempty. Let  $z \in G \cap Y \cap \text{Ball}^0(X)$  and  $y \in W \cap Y \cap \text{Ball}^0(X)$ , and  $y_k$  be the above sequence in the last paragraph. Then for sufficiently large  $k$ ,  $\|y_k\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|T^{n_k} y_k\| \leq M + 1$  and  $y_k \rightarrow y$ ,  $T^{n_k} y_k \xrightarrow{wk} z$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It states  $T^n G \cap W \cap \text{Ball}_{M+1}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, the necessary conditions of part (ii) of Theorem 1.2 are fulfilled and the proof is completed.  $\square$

## 3. The Unilateral and Bilateral Weighted Shift

Let  $\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ . Then, the operator  $T : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$  defined by  $T(e_j) = w_j e_{j-1}$  for  $j \geq 2$  and  $T(e_1) = 0$ , for some positive and bounded sequence  $\{w_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , is called a *unilateral backward shift*. Also, if  $\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the standard basis of  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ , then we define the bilateral backward shift  $T$  on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  by  $T(e_j) = w_j e_{j-1}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for some positive and bounded weights  $\{w_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Salas [13] introduced the norm hypercyclic unilateral and bilateral weighted shift in terms of the sequence of their weights.

**Theorem 3.1 (Salas Theorem [13]).** 1. The unilateral weighted shift  $T$  with weight sequence  $\{w_j : j \geq 1\}$  is hypercyclic if and only if  $\sup\{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n : n \geq 1\} = \infty$ .

2. The bilateral weighted shift  $T$  with weight sequence  $\{w_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is hypercyclic if and only if for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an arbitrary large  $n$  such that for all  $|j| < q$ ,

$$\prod_{s=1}^n w_{j+s} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} w_{j-s} < \epsilon.$$

The authors in [4] (Theorem 4.1) showed that  $\sup\{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n : n \geq 1\} = \infty$  is equivalent to weak hypercyclicity, hence, weakly hypercyclic unilateral weighted shift is norm hypercyclic. They also introduced a sufficient condition for a bilateral weighted shift on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $2 \leq p < \infty$  to be weakly hypercyclic. This condition is weaker than Salas' condition and more difficult to state. Someone might claim that the following conjecture provides a sufficient condition for weak hypercyclicity of bilateral weighted shift, based on weak hypercyclicity criterion.

**Conjecture 1.** *The bilateral weighted shift  $T(e_j) = w_j e_{j-1}$  on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is weakly hypercyclic if and only if there exists some sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of integers such that*

1.  $\sup\{w_j w_{j-1} \cdots w_{j-n_k+1} : k \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} < \infty$ ,
2. for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $w_j w_{j+1} \cdots w_{j+n_k} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

This conjecture is false, because there does not exist a shift that satisfies both conditions (1) and (2) of the conjecture. For a proof, suppose there is an increasing sequence  $(n_k)$  satisfying both conditions. Let  $\alpha := \sup\{w_j w_{j-1} \cdots w_{j-n_k+1} : k \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} < \infty$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $w_j = 0$  for some  $j$ , and so condition (2) fails to hold. Assume  $\alpha > 0$ , then by condition (2), there is  $n_k$  such that

$$w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} > \alpha N. \quad (3.1)$$

For  $j = n_k$ , we have

$$w_{n_k} w_{n_k-1} \cdots w_1 = w_j w_{j-1} \cdots w_{j-n_k+1} \leq \alpha. \quad (3.2)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) yields

$$\alpha N < w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} = w_0 (w_1 \cdots w_{n_k}) \leq w_0 \alpha,$$

and therefore,  $w_0 > N$ . Since this inequality holds for any integer  $N \geq 1$ , we get  $w_0 = \infty$  which is a contradiction.

## 4. The Linear Fractional Composition Operator

Every holomorphic self-map  $\varphi$  of  $U$  induces a linear composition operator  $C : H(U) \rightarrow H(U)$  by  $C(f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))$  for every  $f \in H(U)$  and  $z \in U$ . Shapiro introduced a complete characterization of hypercyclic operators on  $H(U)$ . In fact, he showed that  $C_\varphi$  is hypercyclic if and only if  $\varphi$  has no fixed point in  $U$ . This condition as we see in the theorem below is equivalent to weak hypercyclicity as well.

**Theorem 4.1.**

Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic self-map on  $U$ . Then  $C_\varphi$  is hypercyclic on  $H(U)$  if and only if  $C_\varphi$  is weakly hypercyclic.

*Proof.* Clearly hypercyclicity implies weak hypercyclicity. To prove the other direction, it is enough to show that if  $C_\varphi$  is weakly hypercyclic, then  $\varphi$  has no fixed point in  $U$ . Suppose  $\varphi$  has a fixed point  $p \in U$  and  $\text{Orb}(C_\varphi, f)$  is weakly dense for some  $f \in H(U)$ . Let  $g$  be an arbitrary vector in  $H(U)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . The linear functional  $\Lambda_p : H(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\Lambda_p(f) = f(p)$  is continuous on  $H(U)$ , so there is some positive integer  $n$  such that  $|\Lambda_p(f \circ \varphi_n - g)| < \epsilon$  when  $|f(p) - g(p)| < \epsilon$ . It states that any function in  $H(U)$  must have the value  $f(p)$  at  $p$ . But the weakly closure of this orbit cannot be all of  $H(U)$  and consequently no  $C_\varphi$ -orbit is weakly dense, i.e.,  $C_\varphi$  is not weakly hypercyclic.  $\square$

Now, suppose  $\varphi$  has a linear fractional self-map of  $U$  with no fixed point in  $U$ . Then, we say  $\varphi$  is *parabolic* if  $\varphi$  has only one fixed point which must lie on the unit circle. Parabolic maps are conjugate to translations of the right half-plane into itself. Also, we say  $\varphi$  is *hyperbolic* if it has two fixed points, one of them lies on the unit circle and the other one is out of  $\bar{U}$  which in the automorphism case, both the fixed points must lie on  $\partial U$  [3]. Bourdon and Shapiro [2, 3] characterized the hypercyclic composition operator on Hardy space,  $H^2$ . The obtained results state that for a linear fractional self-map  $\varphi$  of  $U$ ,  $C_\varphi$  is hypercyclic on  $H^2$  unless  $\varphi$  is a parabolic non-automorphism [3].

**Theorem 4.2.**

Let  $\varphi$  be a linear fractional self-map of  $U$ . Then  $C_\varphi$  is hypercyclic on  $H^2$  if and only if  $C_\varphi$  is weakly hypercyclic.

*Proof.* It is clear that if  $\varphi$  is not parabolic non-automorphism, then hypercyclicity and weak hypercyclicity for  $C_\varphi$  are equivalent. Let  $\varphi$  be parabolic non-automorphism, so it has only one fixed point which lies on  $\partial U$ . Without loss of generality, we may take this fixed point to be  $+1$ . Set

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = \sigma \circ \varphi \circ \sigma^{-1}.$$

Then,  $\sigma$  is a linear fractional mapping of  $U$  onto the open right half-plane  $\mathbf{P}$ , and one can easily check that  $\Phi(w) = w + a$  where  $\text{Re}(a) > 0$  and  $w \in \mathbf{P}$ . An easy computation shows that for each  $z \in U$ ,

$$1 - |\varphi_n(z)|^2 = \frac{4\text{Re}(\sigma(z) + na)}{|1 + \sigma(z) + na|^2},$$

and

$$\varphi_n(z) - \varphi_n(0) = \frac{2(\sigma(z) - \sigma(0))}{(\sigma(z) + na + 1)(\sigma(0) + na + 1)}.$$

In addition, for each pair of points  $z, w \in U$ , and  $f \in H^2$ , the following estimate holds,

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq 2 \|f\| \frac{|z - w|}{(\min\{1 - |w|, 1 - |z|\})^{3/2}}.$$

By substituting  $z$  and  $w$  by  $\varphi_n(z)$  and  $\varphi_n(0)$ , respectively, and using the last estimate, we get

$$|f(\varphi_n(z)) - f(\varphi_n(0))| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where the constant  $M$  depends on  $f$ ,  $z$ , and  $\varphi$  (for more details see [2, 3]).

Now, suppose  $\text{Orb}(C_\varphi, f)$  is weakly dense for some vector  $f \in H^2$ . The linear functional  $\Lambda_p : H^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\Lambda_p(g) = g(p)$  is bounded for every  $p \in U$ . Let  $g$  be an arbitrary vector in  $H^2$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a large enough  $n$  such that

$$|f(\varphi_n(z)) - f(\varphi_n(0))| < \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

and

$$|\Lambda_z(f \circ \varphi_n - g)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\Lambda_0(f \circ \varphi_n - g)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Therefore,

$$|f(\varphi_n(z)) - g(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \quad \text{and} \quad |f(\varphi_n(0)) - g(0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality, we can deduce  $|g(z) - g(0)| < \epsilon$ , and consequently  $g \equiv g(0)$ .

Hence, only constant functions can be weak cluster points of the  $C_\varphi$ -orbit of an  $H^2$  function, and thereof  $C_\varphi$  is not weakly hypercyclic. This fulfills the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Bes, and A. Peris, Hereditarily Hypercyclic Operators, *J. Functional Analysis* **167** (1999), 94–112.
- [2] P. S. Bourdon, and J. H. Shapiro, Cyclic composition operators on  $H^2$ , *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **51** (1990), 43–53.
- [3] P. S. Bourdon, and J. H. Shapiro, “Cyclic Phenomena for Composition Operators”, *Memories Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1997.
- [4] K. C. Chan, and R. Sanders, A weakly hypercyclic operator that is not norm hypercyclic, *J. Operator Theory* **52** (2004), 39–59.
- [5] K. C. Chan, and J. H. Shapiro, The cyclic behavior of translation operators on Hilbert spaces of entire functions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **40** (1991), 1421–1449.
- [6] S. J. Dilworth, and V. G. Troitsky, Spectrum of a weakly hypercyclic operator meets the unit circle, 2002. [arXiv: [math/0208193v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0208193v1)]
- [7] C. T. J. Dodson, A review of some recent work on hypercyclicity, 2012. [arXiv: [1211.4390](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.4390)]
- [8] N. S. Feldman, Perturbations of hypercyclic vectors, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **273** (2002), 67–74.
- [9] G. Godefroy, and J. H. Shapiro, Operators with dense invariant cyclic vector manifolds, *J. Func. Anal.* **98** (1991), 229–269.

- 
- [10] C. Kitai, “Invariant Closed Sets for Linear Operators”, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Toronto, 1982.
  - [11] G. R. MacLane, Sequences of derivatives and normal families, *J. d’Analyse Mathématique* **2** (1952), 72–87.
  - [12] S. Rolewicz, On orbits of elements, *Studia Math* **32** (1969), 17–22.
  - [13] H. N. Salas, Hypercyclic weighted shifts, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995) 993–1004.
  - [14] R. Sanders, Weakly supercyclic operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **292** (2004), 148–159.
  - [15] J. H. Shapiro, [Notes on the dynamics of linear operators](http://www.joelshapiro.org/), 2001. <http://www.joelshapiro.org/>